



CPCRN
Cancer Prevention and
Control Research Network

FQHC Signature Project Update

Daniela Friedman, PhD, University of South Carolina

Catherine Rohweder, DrPH, University of North
Carolina

CPCRN Annual Meeting

May 22-24, 2017

Chapel Hill, NC



This presentation was supported by Cooperative Agreements from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The findings and conclusions in this presentation are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Presentations and Manuscripts

- **“Putting Evidence into Action” training** for American Cancer Society staff; September 2016, Atlanta, GA.
- Rohweder, C., Friedman, D.B., Askelson, N., Flocke, S., Glanz, K., Ko, L., Leeman, J. et al., (December 14 - 15, 2016) **What are the Best Intervention and Implementation Strategies for CRC Screening at FQHCs: A Review of Reviews.** 9th Annual Conference on the Science of Dissemination and Implementation, Washington, DC.
- Rohweder, C.L., Friedman, D.B., Leeman, J. Ko, L.K., & Glanz, K. (August 14-16, 2017) **Partnering for Prevention: The Cancer Prevention and Control Research Network’s Collaborations with Federally Qualified Health Centers.** Submitted to 2017 CDC National Cancer Conference, Atlanta, GA.
- **The Use of Evidence-based Colorectal Cancer Screening Interventions and Implementation Strategies in Federally Qualified Health Centers.** Target Journal: Journal of Community Health

CRC Survey

- Purpose: Surveys conducted with FQHC CEOs/Medical Directors on current CRC screening interventions, implementation strategies, and implementation support
- Data Collection Sites: UNC, USC, Case Western, Iowa, UPenn, UK, USF, Arkansas
- Response Rate: 56 out of 148 surveys were completed for a response rate of 37.8%
- Results: Descriptive statistics will be included in the manuscript

In-Depth Interviews

- Purpose: Obtain additional information on FQHCs' decision-making process regarding interventions to increase CRC screening to inform implementation support
- Sampling Strategy: Two FQHCs per state, two respondents per FQHC (N=36); select those that are implementing multi-level CRC screening interventions
- Data Collection Sites: UNC, USC, Case Western, Iowa, UPenn, UK, Washington, USF, Arkansas.
- Current Status: Interview guide completed, IRB protocols submitted, interviewer training conducted, interviews being scheduled

Implementation Support

Goals:

- Develop, deliver, and evaluate a model comprised of training, tools and ongoing support to strengthen the adoption and implementation of multi-level CRC screening interventions in FQHCs
- Create a partner curriculum for “Putting Public Health Evidence into Action” that uses CRC screening in FQHCs as an example but is applicable to cancer screening in general and clinical settings in general

Future Activities

- Conduct in-depth interviews, code and analyze transcripts, write manuscripts and submit abstracts for presentations
- Integrate findings from survey & in-depth interviews, “Putting Public Health Evidence into Action”, and IHI’s Model for Improvement to create curriculum, decision-making and evaluation tools
- Pilot curriculum in collaboration with ACS and selected FQHCs
- Collect pre-test data on readiness and capacity; collect post-test data on acceptability, satisfaction, and use of tools